

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction: Traditional medicine users in a treated chronic disease population: a cross-sectional study in Indonesia

Ivan Surya Pradipta^{1,2*}, Kevin Aprilio^{1,2†}, Raden Maya Febriyanti³, Yozi Fiedya Ningsih^{1,2}, Mochammad Andhika Aji Pratama², Raden Bayu Indradi³, Vesara Ardhe Gatera⁴, Sofa Dewi Alfian^{1,2}, Auliya Iskandarsyah⁵ and Rizky Abdulah^{1,2}

Correction: BMC Complement Med Ther 23, 120 (2023)
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-023-03947-4>

We have noticed several errors in the data presentation of our article [1]. These errors do not impact the Results and Discussion section in the article, as the interpretation was made based on the correct information. However, we believe these errors might confuse readers' interpretation of the study. Hence, we see the importance in acknowledging and correcting these errors. We extend our sincere apology for any issues caused by these errors.

[†]Ivan Surya Pradipta and Kevin Aprilio contributed equally to this work.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-023-03947-4>.

*Correspondence:

Ivan Surya Pradipta
ivanpradipta@unpad.ac.id

¹ Department of Pharmacology and Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Padjadjaran, Jalan Ir. Soekarno KM. 21, Jatinangor, Sumedang, West Java 45363, Indonesia

² Drug Utilization and Pharmacoepidemiology Research Group, Center of Excellence in Higher Education for Pharmaceutical Care Innovation, Universitas Padjadjaran, Jalan Ir. Soekarno KM. 21, Jatinangor, Sumedang, West Java 45363, Indonesia

³ Department of Pharmaceutical Biology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Padjadjaran, Jalan Ir. Soekarno KM. 21, Jatinangor, Sumedang, West Java 45363, Indonesia

⁴ Department of Pharmacy and Health Sciences, Universiti Kuala Lumpur - Royal College of Medicine Perak, Ipoh, Perak, Malaysia

⁵ Department of Clinical Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, Universitas Padjadjaran, Jalan Ir. Soekarno KM. 21, Jatinangor, Sumedang, West Java 45363, Indonesia

Corrections:

In Table 1, the percentage of male subjects in the study should read “38.7%” instead of “8.7%”. The correct number of male subjects representing this percentage has been shown in Table 2 of the original article. The data in Table 1 are otherwise correct.

In Fig. 2, the legend for non-traditional medicine user and traditional medicine user was mixed up. Non-traditional medicine users should have been represented by the dark blue color, while traditional medicine users should have been represented by the light blue color. The proportion of which, presented in the figure, is otherwise correct. Kindly find the corrected Fig. 2 in this erratum.

The original article has been corrected.



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.